



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,357	03/31/2004	Donald A. Zick	14066.0004	5014

27195 7590 03/10/2008  
AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP  
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER  
1900 EAST NINTH STREET  
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER
----------

TOLENTINO, RODERICK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/10/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com  
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com  
osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/813,357	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZICK, DONALD A.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Roderick Tolentino	<b>Art Unit</b> 2134	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Roderick Tolentino. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brad Spitz. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,5 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nessett et al. 6,766,453.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the limitations involving triggers to initiate contact between devices and "commitments" to ensure parties are the designated parties. Limitations may potentially overcome rejections based on how they are amended, however, further search and consideration would still be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/kambiz zand/  
SPE 2134 AU

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required